

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,011	11/03/2003	Ralph E. Wesinger JR.	GRAPH-003COD	5849
	7590 02/08/2007 ENT GROUP, LTD.		EXAMINER	
1657 Hwy 395,	Suite 202		HA, LEYNNA A	
Minden, NV 89423			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	2135	
	`			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/701,011	WESINGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time The state of the second	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
	ntohor 2006					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Oc</u>	•					
· <u>-</u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 20-38 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			,			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		•			
,	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/06/2006</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Application/Control Number: 10/701,011

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 20-38 remains pending.
- **2.** This is a Non-Final rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory
 obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim
 of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,788.

Both the current application '011 and patent '788 recites an array or plurality of firewall nodes/machines and the DNS process. However,

. Application/Control Number: 10/701,011

Art Unit: 2135

claims 20, 25, 30, and 35 of '011 recites first and second set of virtual hosts whereas '788 recites a plurality of virtual hosts. Thus, it is obvious the plurality of hosts can read on the claimed first and second set of virtual hosts.

Claims 20, 25, 30, and 35 of '011 recites interfacing an associated firewall machine with IP-compliant network and interfacing with a private network. Whereas, '788 broadly recites firewall nodes delineate one network segment from another network segment. The network segment can obviously be an IP-compliant network and another network segment can be the private network. The limitations of claims 20, 25, 30, and 35 in the current application are read on the limitations of claim 1 of '785.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LHa

SUPERVISORY PATENT CYANGE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100